The aim of the investigation was to study the capabilities of compression elastography used in endosonography to estimate the stage of fibrosis process in liver parenchyma.

Materials and Methods. We examined 67 patients with hepatic diseases including 29 patients (43.3%) with steatosis, 23 (34.3%) — with hepatitis, 15 (22.4%) — with cirrhosis. All patients underwent hepatic sonography, transient elastography, fibrogastroduodenoscopy, compression elastography in the course of endosonography. Ultrasound-assisted liver puncture was used as a reference method.

Results. The survey enabled to determine optimal threshold levels of compression elastography in steatosis, hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, when diagnostic sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of the technique are maximal. The diagnosis of hepatic steatosis is confirmed if difference coefficient is from 5 to 17 RU (F0-stage) and from 18 to 25 RU (F1-stage), hepatitis — from 26 to 37 RU (F2-stage) and from 38 to 49 RU (F3-stage), liver cirrhosis — from 50 to 100 RU (F4-stage).

Conclusion. Compression elastography in endosonography enables to image hepatic segments (segments 1, 2, 4, 5, 8), which are not always accessible in transabdominal sonography, and are inaccessible in transient elastometry; therefore, making it possible to improve early diagnostics of liver fibrosis process.
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in Smolensk Clinical Hospital No. 1. We examined 67 patients with hepatic diseases, the clinical forms being the following: steatosis — 29 (43.3%), hepatitis — 23 (34.3%), cirrhosis — 15 (22.4%). All patients underwent hepatic sonography, transient elastography, fibrogastroduodenoscopy and compression elastography in the course of endosonography by one doctor, in one room. Ultrasound-assisted liver puncture was used as a reference method. Biopsy material was taken in 40 patients: 22 patients (55%) with steatosis, 15 (37.5%) — with hepatitis, 3 (7.5%) — with hepatic cirrhosis.

All patients underwent complex diagnostic ultrasound of abdominal cavity with Doppler and portal blood flow assessment on HITACHI Preirus (Japan), in duplex and triplex scanning modes. Endosonography with elastography was performed using a ultrasonograph and an endoscope with convex probe PENTAX EG 387OUTK (Japan), transient elastometry — on Fibroscan (Echosens, France).

Liver compression elastography was performed concurrently with endosonography, successively placing a convex probe in cirrhotic changes in views of the segments 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, as follows: in descending part, duodenal bulb, antral part, and the body of stomach. We determined difference coefficients of compression elastography strain ratio (SR) (RU).

**Results and Discussion.** After transient elastometry, compression elastography in endosonography and liver biopsy in all clinical forms we compared their findings (Table 1).

Compression elastography in endosonography was two-staged: qualitative assessment of elastographic view of liver parts and a corrective stage of elastographic diagnosis — measurement of elastographic correlation in revealed regions of interest by calculating comparative difference coefficients of strain ratio (SR).

High-quality color images in compression elastography during endosonography causes certain difficulties due to artifacts, which were caused by echoendoscope rotation for more accurate positioning of a convex probe and by digestive tube contractions that required patient’s position changing (prone position).

The color characteristics in tissue image evaluation program have three main colors: red, green and blue indicating stiffness degree. In liver compression elastography, i.e., in fibrosis stage assessment, we obtained the following characteristics: mixed tissue staining (green + red + blue) was typical for F0, F1, F2 stages, hard staining (blue color prevailing) — for F3 and F4 stages.

If SR difference coefficient was from 5 to 17 RU (F0-stage) and from 18 to 25 RU (F1-stage) hepatic steatosis was confirmed, from 26 to 37 RU (F2-stage) and from 38 to 49 RU (F3-stage) — hepatitis, from 50 to 100 RU (F4-stage) — hepatic cirrhosis.

Hepatic compression elastography values demonstrated statistically significant difference of tissue SR in different fibrosis stages. Liver parenchyma stiffness can be compared only in complex elastography (transient elastometry + compression elastography), since liver fibrous process develops irregularly, and the use of one of elastographic techniques do not enable to present an entire pattern of liver fibrous process, particularly in case of contradictions to biopsy.

Comparing liver biopsy and transient elastometry findings using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (d=0.33125; p<0.01), Lilliefors test (p<0.01) and Shapiro–Wilk test (SW–W=0.68460; p=0.0001) we found that the hypothesis for Gaussian distribution model is applicable, the sign having normal distribution (p=0.0001).

For compression elastography in endosonography Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (d=0.32424; p<0.01), Lilliefors test (p<0.0100) and Shapiro–Wilk test (SW–W=0.69076; p=0.0001) also showed that the hypothesis for Gaussian

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical forms</th>
<th>Instrumental methods</th>
<th>F0</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steatosis</td>
<td>Transient elastometry</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compression elastography in endosonography</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liver biopsy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
<td>Transient elastometry</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compression elastography in endosonography</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liver biopsy</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis</td>
<td>Transient elastometry</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compression elastography in endosonography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liver biopsy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
distribution model was applicable, SR difference coefficient had normal distribution (p=0.0001).

Here we have an example of a clinical case.

Patient K., born 1957, was admitted to the gastroenterology department with the diagnosis “active hepatitis of alcoholic etiology”. According to the results of biochemical blood assay there was found the syndrome of cytolysis and cholestasis: total bilirubin — 22.5 µmol/L; ALAT — 75 U/L; AAT — 63 U/L; alkaline phosphatase — 119 U/L; GGT — 67 U/L.

Ultrasound investigation showed diffuse changes of parenchyma, the moderate increase of anteroposterior liver size (Fig. 1). Additional spleen ultrasound demonstrated the following: size — within normal range, echostructure — no changes, but v. lienalis dilatation (Fig. 2). Liver transient elastometry showed F3-stage fibrosis (Fig. 3), and additional compression elastography in endosonography enabled to diagnose liver cirrhosis (Fig. 4).

The example shows that a gastroenterologist should be suspicious of a possible quick outcome of hepatitis in hepatic cirrhosis in such patients.

To confirm informativeness and diagnostic value of the investigations carried out we performed the discriminative analysis determining standardized canonical coefficient of discriminant function, which based on the above-mentioned methods enables to calculate their sensitivity, specificity and accuracy (Table 2).

The analysis showed higher values of sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of compression elastography in endosonography compared to transient elastometry. Virtually the same values of compression elastography in endosonography and liver biopsy indicate that biopsy is still a gold standard of liver diagnostics resulting in informative results in case it is technically feasible.

In the study there were determined optimal threshold values of compression elastography in steatosis, hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, when diagnostic sensitivity, specificity and accuracy are maximum. If difference coefficient is from 5 to 17 RU (F0-stage) and from 18 to 25 RU (F1-stage) the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis is confirmed, from 26 to 37 RU (F2-stage) and from 38 to 49 RU (F3-stage) — hepatitis, from 50 to 100 RU (F4-stage) — liver cirrhosis.

Thus, the study carried out demonstrated significant differential diagnostic possibilities and application prospects of compression elastography in endosonography, particularly in gastroenterology and hepatology.

**Conclusion.** Compression elastography in endosonography enables to image hepatic segments (segments 1, 2, 4, 5, 8), which are not
always accessible in transabdominal sonography, and are inaccessible in transient elastometry; therefore, making it possible to improve early diagnostics of liver fibrosis process.
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