Bisphosphonates as Potential Inhibitors of Calcification in Bioprosthetic Heart Valves (Review)

DOI: 10.17691/stm2022.14.2.07 Received July 8, 2021

T.P. Timchenko, Junior Researcher, Laboratory of Bioprosthetics

Meshalkin National Medical Research Center of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation, 15 Rechkunovskaya St., Novosibirsk, 630055, Russia

As early as 50 years ago, bisphosphonates turned from a water treatment agent into one of the most widely used groups of drugs for the treatment of various diseases of calcium metabolism (bone tissue resorption, oncological complications of neurodegenerative diseases and others). Years of research on bisphosphonates have contributed to the understanding of their molecular and cellular pathways of their action. All bisphosphonates have a similar structure and common properties, however, there are obvious chemical, biochemical, and pharmacological differences between them. Each bisphosphonate has its own unique profile. This review summarizes data on the mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates, demonstrates the experience and prospects for their use for the modification of cardiovascular bioprostheses, since the issue of preventing bisphosphonate calcification has not been settled yet.

Key words: bisphosphonates; aminobisphosphonates; calcification; anticalcium agents; bioprosthetic heart valves.

How to cite: Timchenko T.P. Bisphosphonates as potential inhibitors of calcification in bioprosthetic heart valves (review). Sovremennye tehnologii v medicine 2022; 14(2): 68, https://doi.org/10.17691/stm2022.14.2.07

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Bisphosphonates (BP), or diphosphonates, as called before, have been known for a long time. They were first synthesized by German chemists as early as 1865 [1, 2], but they have been used for the treatment of calcium metabolism disorders only in the last 50 years. Currently, BPs are one of the most widely used groups of drugs for the treatment of Paget disease, osteoporosis, breast cancer and neoplastic bone metastases, multiple myeloma, some other rare bone diseases, neurodegenerative diseases, and also in dentistry [3-11]. In veterinary medicine, these drugs are used to solve the same problems in the treatment of different animal species [12]. In addition, bisphosphonates are used for targeted delivery of drugs to the bone: antibiotics, hormones, and anticancer drugs [13]. Since 1970, BPs have been used as radioactively labeled drugs in the diagnosis of skeletal diseases [14]. The possibility of using Zoledronate BP as an immunomodulator in the complex treatment of pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2 is being considered [15].

The discovery of the BPs' biological effects goes back to the study of the mechanisms of calcification and the role of pyrophosphate in it. As early as the 1930s, polyphosphates were found to act as natural physiological regulators of the calcification process due to their ability to inhibit the deposition of calcium salts. In the 1960s, it was found that biological fluids (urine and blood plasma) contain a substance that inhibits the precipitation of calcium phosphate, namely pyrophosphate [16, 17]. It has a high affinity for calcium crystals, slows down their formation and dissolution *in vitro*, and inhibits calcification *in vivo*, but when taken orally, it is rapidly metabolized in the body due to hydrolysis in the gastrointestinal tract [18, 19]. The search for compounds resistant to enzymatic hydrolysis

Corresponding author: Tatyana P. Timchenko, e-mail: t.p.timchenko@gmail.com

and similar to pyrophosphate in terms of physical and chemical activity led researchers to BPs.

Molecular structure and pharmacological efficacy of bisphosphonates

Bisphosphonates are synthetic analogues of pyrophosphate with two phosphonate groups bound to a central carbon atom. The P–C–P group in the BP structure makes them resistant to enzymatic hydrolysis, in contrast to the hydrolytically unstable P–O–P bond in the pyrophosphate structure. Besides, BPs have two additional side chains in a molecule, which are absent in pyrophosphate. They are called R1 and R2, respectively, and are also bound to the central carbon atom (Figure 1) [20–24].

Bisphosphonates bind with hydroxyapatite due to chelation of calcium ions on the surface of apatite crystals by two phosphonate groups located in close proximity, which leads to the formation of a bidentate bond [25–28].

The type of side chains in BPs is an important factor determining their properties. Hydroxyl substitution in R1 was found to increase the BPs' affinity for calcium

crystals due to the formation of a tridentate bond [29]. It is the hydroxyl group that the majority of clinically used BPs contain in the R1 position. BPs with R1 substituted for CI- or H⁺ ions (Clodronate and Tiludronate) bidentate binding provide to calcium crystals and have a significantly lower binding affinity [30, 31]. The configuration of the R2 side chain determines the antiresorptive activity of BPs with respect to bone tissue [32, 33]. On the whole, the presence of R1 and R2 side chains makes it possible to introduce numerous substitutions and synthesize a large number of substances with different properties.

According to the chemical structure of R2. BPs are subdivided into nitrogen-free and nitrogen-containing compounds (see Figure 1). The nitrogen atom in the structure has an impact on the antiresorptive efficacy of nitrogen-containing BPs, increasing it by 10-10,000 times relative to nitrogen-free ones (see the Table).

The presence of a positively charged R2 group enables BPs to bind to the mineral surface of the bone, which subsequently increases the affinity of hydroxyapatite for negatively charged phosphonate groups as a result of electrostatic interactions [34]. Another important factor in the higher activity of nitrogen-containing BPs compared to nitrogen-free ones is the formation of hydrogen bond between the BP amino group and the hydroxyapatite surface. Alendronate with a free amino group is an example of this bond [35]. This explains the strong affinity of amine-containing BPs for bone tissue and their use in the treatment of bone diseases [36–39]. Moreover, BP binding with carbonate apatite has been reported [36–39]. This proves the influence of the R2's structure on the absorption, distribution, and long-term deposition of BPs in bone tissue.

The BPs of the first generation differ from other groups by the absence of nitrogen in their composition (nitrogen-free bisphosphonates). The scope of effects of these substances is narrower than that of aminobisphosphonates. Nevertheless, the treatment and prevention of various diseases associated with bone resorption with these drugs has proven to be highly effective. BPs of the first generation succeed in the correction of hypercalcemia, prevention efforts to prevent the development of bone metastasis in



Figure 1. Molecular structure of pyrophosphate and bisphosphonate (a) and structural formulas of some bisphosphonates (b)

REVIEWS

Group	International non-proprietary name	Trade name of the drug	Antiresorptive potential*	Administration mode	Mechanism of action
l generation (nitrogen-free)	Etidronic acid	Xidiphone Pleostat Didronel Didrocal Etidrocal Etiron	1	Orally Intravenously	Metabolized intracellularly (in osteoclasts) to cytotoxic adenosine triphosphate analogues
	Clodronic acid	Benefos Sidronate Klobir Clasteon	1–10	Perorally Intravenously	
	Tiludronic acid	Skelide	10	Perorally	
II generation (with nitrogen-containing alkyl chains)	Pamidronic acid	Aredia	100	Intravenously	They inhibit the enzyme farnesyl diphosphate synthase, resulting in a decrease in the formation of mevalonate, a substance necessary to maintain osteoclastic vital activity
	Alendronic acid	Tevanat Fosamax Fosavance Adrovance Act Alendronate Bimisto	100–1000	Perorally	
	Ibandronic acid	Bandronat Boniva Bonviva Iasibon	1000–10,000	Perorally Intravenously	
III generation (with nitrogen-containing ring radicals)	Risedronic acid	Risendros Actonel	1000–10,000	Perorally	They inhibit the enzyme farnesyl diphosphate synthase, resulting in a decrease in the formation of mevalonate, a substance necessary to maintain osteoclastic vital activity
	Zoledronic acid	Zoledronate Aclasta Zometa Reclast	>10,000	Intravenously	

Bisphosphonates used in clinical practice and their relative antiresorptive activity

* the antiresorptive efficacy of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates relative to nitrogen-free ones is indicated.

certain cancers, Paget disease, and in the treatment of osteoporosis (but it is contraindicated in juvenile osteoporosis) [7, 40–43].

Amino-containing BPs of the second generation are characterized by a wider scope of actions and higher efficiency. Thus, for example, Pamidronate has proven to be effective in the treatment of patients with multiple myeloma and breast cancer with bone metastases, i.e. tumors characterized primarily by the development of osteolytic metastases [16, 44].

Despite the proven dose-dependent effect of Pamidronate, its high doses are practically not used due to adverse effects on the gastrointestinal tract [45, 46]. In patients with tumor-induced hypercalcemia, Pamidronate has exhibited an advantage over Clodronate, primarily, in the duration of normocalcemia, since the average duration of the effect of Clodronate is 14 days compared to 28 days for Pamidronate [47]. Aminobisphosphonates can also be used to prevent complications of bone metastasis. The results of studies with Clodronate and Pamidronate revealed a significant reduction in the incidence of complications with prolonged use of Pamidronate [38].

The development of new third-generation BPs with a reduced frequency of administration (once per week or once per month) contributed to a significant increase in adherence to treatment, optimization of therapy outcomes, and a reduction in adverse events. Thus, zoledronic acid, which has the highest affinity for bone tissue hydroxyapatite in comparison with other aminobisphosphonates, such as Alendronate, Ibandronate, Risedronate, provides a greater therapeutic effect and less side effects [48–53].

Nancollas et al. [54] determined the kinetic ability of BPs' binding in their studies. They found that Clodronate was the weakest inhibitor of the growth rate of hydroxyapatite and had the lowest kinetic affinity constant. Other authors [7, 22] found differences between hydroxyl-substituted bisphosphonates and ranked them according to hydroxyapatite binding affinity as follows: Zoledronate > Alendronate > Ibandronate > Risedronate > Etidronate > Clodronate.

Cellular and molecular mechanisms of bisphosphonate action

Together with synthesizing more powerful BPs, it has become obvious that their biological activity is not explained by physical and chemical properties alone. This stimulated studies of the mechanisms of BPs' action at the cellular level [3, 55–59].

The cellular mechanisms of action of BPs are based on the inhibition of bone tissue resorption due to their selective binding and adsorption on the bone mineral surface. Having a high affinity for calcium ions, they perfectly penetrate the bone tissue. There, BP molecules are concentrated around osteoclasts, creating a high concentration in resorption lacunae. *In vitro* studies have shown that BPs influence the depth of resorption lacunae, reducing it. Within osteoclasts, they initiate a number of changes that reduce the ability of bone tissue to resorb (loss of brush border, cytoskeleton destruction, inability of osteoclasts to move or bind to bone tissue). After BPs bind to osteoclasts, they impair their biochemical processes, causing apoptosis [34, 60].

At the molecular level, the biochemical mechanisms of BP action also differ and depend on their structure. There are two major mechanisms of their action.

Nitrogen-free BPs of the first generation behave like pyrophosphate analogs: they are involved in the metabolism of stable ATP analogs (to adenosine-5'-(β , γ -dichloromethylene)-triphosphate) due to the action of aminoacyl-tRNA synthase [42]. Intracellular accumulation of these non-hydrolysable metabolites in osteoclasts causes a deficiency of functional ATP and

also inhibits the mitochondrial ADP/ATP translocase, which, in turn, leads to osteoclast apoptosis [61–63].

Highly active nitrogen-containing II generation N-BPs are not metabolized, but directly induce osteoclast apoptosis by inhibiting the biosynthesis of mevalonate, which is involved in the formation of cholesterol and isoprenoid lipids, including isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP), farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP), and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP). However, the main target of this group of BPs is farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (FPPS), one of the enzymes involved in the metabolism of pyrophosphate-containing isoprenoid lipids [23, 39, 64]. FPP and GGPP are required for post-translational prenvlation of small G proteins such as Rab. Rac. Ras. and Rho. These key G proteins, prenylated at a cysteine residue, regulate various cellular processes of osteoclast function, those of maturation and survival. Therefore, inhibition of FPPS leads to loss of resorption capacity of osteoclasts or inhibits osteoclastogenesis [36, 65, 66]. The ability to inhibit the process of protein modification in osteoclasts leads to apoptosis of mature cells, which is proved by the appearance of specific changes in the cell and structure of the nucleus [67]. At the same time, osteoclast precursor cells lose their ability to differentiate and mature, which naturally leads to a decrease in the number of osteoclasts [28]. Moreover, in vitro data indicate that, under the influence of BP, osteoblasts reduce the secretion of the osteoclast-stimulating factor [68]. BPs are capable of recycling, i.e. return to the systemic circulation from the bone surface resorbed by osteoclasts. BP molecules released from the bone tissue can attach to another part of the bone. Continuous BP



Figure 2. Cellular and biochemical mechanism of action of bisphosphonates

REVIEWS

administration increases the "bisphosphonate load" on the bone, which determines the unique feature of this class of drugs — the preservation of the clinical effect for a long time after discontinuation of therapy [29, 69–72].

The mechanism of BP action is partially similar to the mechanism of action of statins, since they also inhibit the enzymes involved in the of mevalonate metabolism, though, statins participate only in one of the first stages, inhibiting HMG-CoA reductase [67].

Thus, the mechanism of BP action is based on a triple effect on the key processes of bone remodeling: physical and chemical binding to hydroxyapatite, a direct effect on the resorption activity of osteoclasts, and stimulation of new bone formation (Figure 2).

The use of bisphosphonates for the modification of cardiovascular bioprostheses

The biological prostheses for the correction of cardiovascular diseases have been used for more than 60 years [73–75]. Various xenogenic materials are used for the production of valve and vascular prostheses: the porcine aorta, aortic valve, and pericardium, as well as the bovine pericardium, jugular vein, and internal thoracic artery. These materials differ in microstructure, ratio of fibrillar proteins and amino acids. Since 1967, glutaraldehyde (GA) has been used in the production of biological prostheses for tissue preservation [76–79]. GA provides a high density of collagen cross-linking and significantly increases its resistance to the action of proteolytic enzymes. At the same time, bioprosthetic materials treated with GA acquire a marked tendency to pathological calcification [80–83].

According to modern concepts, the calcification of bioprosthetic tissue is based on the structural features of the chemical bonds between collagen and GA. The formation of cross-links occurs mainly due to the reaction of *ε*-amino groups of lysine and hydroxylysine with polymeric GA. These bonds contain several active oxygen atoms capable of forming strong complexes with calcium cations. Calcification can be provoked by the bond of polymerized GA molecules, which is similar to pyridine bases found in bone tissue collagen [84-86], a degree of mineralization being directly dependent on the density of cross-links in the collagen matrix. In addition, the level of glycosaminoglycans and proteoglycans cross-linked with collagen and preventing spontaneous precipitation of calcium salts in soft tissues decreases in the biomaterial during conservation [87-89].

For many years, researchers have been studying the mechanisms of calcification and searching for new methods for the conservation of biological tissue [90–96]. One of the avenues of investigation is related to drugs of the BP group. Thus, systemic parenteral administration of etidronic and pamidronic acids during subcutaneous implantation of the biomaterial in rats provided 97% inhibition of calcification. But the doses of the administered drug in these experiments significantly exceeded the therapeutic ones, which caused complications, such as osteomalacia and calcium imbalance. Long-term use of these drugs in experimental animals impaired general somatic growth, and short-term therapy was ineffective [97].

To avoid complications associated with the systemic use of BPs, the study of methods of local therapy began. The first such experience was gained by using polymer matrices that provide controlled release of the drug. The biomaterial and the polymer matrix were implanted in immediate proximity (thus, systemic adverse effects were avoided), but the matrix was depleted rather guickly, which made it impossible to create long term therapeutic BP concentration [98-101]. Recently, a method of local application (transcatheter delivery) of Zoledronate has been proposed to prevent calcification of the aortic valve with the development of aortic stenosis in experimental animals [102]. The study was conducted on a small group of New Zealand rabbits with highly pronounced aortic stenosis. A medicinal composition with 500 µg/L Zoledronate was used as an anticalcium therapy. It was applied directly to the valve leaflets. The experiment was completed after 28 days. Histological examination of the leaflets demonstrated a significant reduction in the area of calcium lesions by almost 40% in the group treated with Zoledronate, compared with the control group. Despite good results, this technique is rather complicated, and most authors still recommend the systemic use of BPs in the clinical treatment of aortic stenosis and aortic valve calcification [103-106].

A step forward was using the method of immobilization of BP molecules on biological tissues [107]. It was first described by Fleisch et al. as early as 1968 [108]. At the end of the last century, numerous papers were published confirming the anticalcium effect of BPs immobilized on collagen biomaterials crosslinked with GA [109–116].

The nitrogen atom in R2 of the amine-containing BP molecule can covalently bind with the free groups of the bifunctional preservative which remain after the completion of the cross-linking process (masking group) [114]. However, the primary, secondary, and tertiary nitrogen atoms in the amino group have different binding reactivity with aldehyde groups. Historically, the first and most well studied for the purpose of anticalcium modification is Pamidronate [111]. In addition, other compounds of this group were also studied [38, 112-119]. It has been established that not all BPs have the same anticalcifying effect. The structure of BPs and the presence of free phosphonic groups after immobilization on the biomaterial determine their biological and calcium-inhibiting activity. At the same time, there is no correlation between the calcium-inhibiting activity and the amount of the drug fixed on the biomaterial [120]. Pamidronate demonstrated the highest calcium-inhibiting activity on immobilization on the GA-treated biomaterial [120].

It should be noted that different BPs at the same concentration of working solutions are immobilized on

GA-treated biological tissues in different amounts. The amount of immobilized BP depends on its structure as well as on the species and tissue affiliation of the material [121-123]. No relationship was found between the amount and effectiveness of BP associated with the preserved material. It is interesting to note that Zoledronate, which has the highest systemic efficacy among all known BPs, had the least anticalcium effect in the immobilized state [120]. Russell et al. [34] suggest that some BP molecules bind to residual aldehyde groups, while others bind directly to proteins, forming hydrogen bonds (similar to the interaction of BPs with Thr or Lys FPPS [36]) with amino acids that can potentiate calcification. In both cases, the phosphonic groups remain free and can affect mineralization due to the direct physical and chemical binding of hydroxyapatite. The study established [120] that, when developing a strategy for modifying biomaterials with immobilized BPs, it is necessary to take into account the whole set of factors, the main of which are the molecular structures of BP itself, the preservative, and the predominant protein of the connective tissue matrix. Their main components are collagen and elastin, consisting of soluble tropoelastin molecules, bound by desmosine and isodesmosine, which form insoluble elastin [120]. GA pericardial cross-linking has been shown previously [122, 123] to stabilize collagen but not elastin, which can cause elastin degradation and, hence, a decrease in the elastic properties of the tissue. This occurs mainly due to very few free amino groups in elastin that are needed for crosslinking. All the GA-treated biomaterials have a high calcium-binding capacity (>100 µg/mg dry tissue). Preservation with diglycidyl ether of ethylene glycol (DEE) reduces the calcium level in the wall of the vein and pericardium by 4 to 40 times, respectively, but does not affect the wall of the aorta. Mineralization in the walls of the aorta and vein treated with GA and DEE is predominantly associated with elastin. Thus, it can be hypothesized that improved elastin stabilization would reduce calcification and increase tissue durability. BP modification reduces elastin calcification, but does not completely block it. The search for an "ideal" cross-linking agent for a biomaterial goes on. Each xenogenic material requires an individual protection strategy [124-126].

Conclusion

Over the half-century history of the medical use of bisphosphonates, plenty of new compounds with various groups in the R1 and R2 positions and, accordingly, having different anticalcium activity have been synthesized. Researchers have a choice among existing drugs and ample opportunities for the synthesis of new ones. It is necessary to expand the indications for the use of bisphosphonates, especially for immobilization on xenogenic bioprosthetic materials in order to prevent their calcification in the recipient's body. This area has not yet been sufficiently studied since the causes for the tissue specificity of various bisphosphonates, the peculiarities of their binding, and effectiveness depending on the crosslinking agent used for preservation, as well as the mechanisms of the anticalcifying action of immobilized bisphosphonates, are unknown.

There is still no unified modification method for different bisphosphonates and various tissues. However, the accumulated experience indicates that the prospects for using bisphosphonates as an anticalcium agent for the creation of cardiovascular bioprostheses are quite real, but this problem needs further investigation.

Study funding. The study was not sponsored.

Conflicts of interest. The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

1. McClung M. Bisphosphonates. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab* 2006; 50(4): 735–744, https://doi.org/10.1590/s0004-27302006000400018.

2. Bishop N.J., Russell R.G.G. Bisphosphonates. In: Osteogenesis imperfecta. Shapiro J.R., Byers P.H., Glorieux F.H., Sponseller P.D. (editors). Cambridge, Massachusetts: Academic Press; 2014; p. 495–500, https://doi. org/10.1016/b978-0-12-397165-4.00053-8.

3. Peris P., Monegal A., Guañabens N. Bisphosphonates in inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Bone* 2021; 146: 11588, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2021.115887.

4. Shi M., Chen L., Wu H., Wang Y., Wang W., Zhang Y., Yan S. Effect of bisphosphonates on periprosthetic bone loss after total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2018; 19(1): 177, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-018-2101-z.

5. Zameer S., Najmi A.K., Vohora D., Akhtar M. Bisphosphonates: future perspective for neurological disorders. *Pharmacol Rep* 2018; 70(5): 900–907, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pharep.2018.03.011.

6. Biggin A., Munns C.F. Long-term bisphosphonates therapy in osteogenesis imperfecta. *Curr Osteoporos Rep* 2017; 15(5): 412–418, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11914-017-0401-0.

7. Zhabina A.S. The role of bisphosphonates in the prevention and treatment of bone metastases. *Prakticeskaa onkologia* 2011; 12(3): 124–131.

8. Coleman R. Bisphosphonates and breast cancer — from cautious palliation to saving lives. *Bone* 2020; 140: 115570, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115570.

9. Chapurlat R., Legrand M.A. Bisphosphonates for the treatment of fibrous dysplasia of bone. *Bone* 2021; 143: 115784, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115784.

10. Ralston S.H. Bisphosphonates in management of Paget's disease. *Bone* 2020; 138: 115465, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115465.

11. Sedghizadeh P.P., Sun S., Jones A.C., Sodagar E., Cherian P., Chen C., Junka A.F., Neighbors J.D., McKenna C.E., Russell R.G.G., Ebetino F.H. Bisphosphonates in dentistry: historical perspectives, adverse effects, and novel applications. *Bone* 2021; 147: 115933, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2021.115933.

12. Suva L.J., Cooper A., Watts A.E., Ebetino F.H.,

REVIEWS

Price J., Gaddy D. Bisphosphonates in veterinary medicine: the new horizon for use. *Bone* 2021; 142: 115711, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115711.

13. Ossipov D.A. Bisphosphonate-modified biomaterials for drug delivery and bone tissue engineering. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* 2015; 12(9): 1443–1458, https://doi.org/10.1517/1742524 7.2015.1021679.

14. Compston J. Practical guidance for the use of bisphosphonates in osteoporosis. *Bone* 2020; 136: 115330, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115330.

15. Brufsky A., Marti J.L.G., Nasrazadani A., Lotze M.T. Boning up: amino-bisphophonates as immunostimulants and endosomal disruptors of dendritic cell in SARS-CoV-2 infection. *J Transl Med* 2020; 18(1): 261, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-020-02433-6.

16. Russell R.G.G. Bisphosphonates: the first 40 years. *Bone* 2011; 49(1): 2–19, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011. 04.022.

17. Fleisch H. Bisphosphonates: mechanisms of action. *Endocr Rev* 1998; 9(1): 80–100, https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv. 19.1.0325.

18. Li Q., Kingman J., Sundberg J.P., Levine M.A., Uitto J. Dual effects of bisphosphonates on ectopic skin and vascular soft tissue mineralization versus bone microarchitecture in a mouse model of generalized arterial calcification of infancy. *J Invest Dermatol* 2016; 136(1): 275–283, https://doi. org/10.1038/jid.2015.377.

19. Orriss I.R., Arnett T.R., Russell R.G.G. Pyrophosphate: a key inhibitor of mineralization. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2016; 28: 57–68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2016.03.003.

20. Green J.R. Bisphosphonates: preclinical review. *Oncologist* 2004; 9(S4): 3–13, https://doi.org/10.1634/ theoncologist.9-90004-3.

21. Papapoulos S.E. Bisphosphonates: how do they work? *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008; 22(5): 831–847, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beem.2008.07.001.

22. Cremers S., Papapoulos S. Pharmacology of bisphosphonates. *Bone* 2011; 49(1): 42–49, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.01.014.

23. Ebetino F.H., Hogan A.M.L., Sun S., Tsoumpra M.K., Duan X., Triffitt J.T., Kwaasi A.A., Dunford J.E., Barnett B.L., Oppermann U., Lundy M.W., Boyde A., Kashemirov B.A., McKenna C.E., Russell R.G.G. The relationship between the chemistry and biological activity of the bisphosphonates. *Bone* 2011; 49(1): 20–33, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011. 03.774.

24. Eriksen E.F., Halse J. When should bisphosphonate treatment be discontinued? *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 2012; 132(14): 1630–1632, https://doi.org/10.4045/tidsskr.11.0788.

25. Puljula E., Turhanen P., Vepsäläinen J., Monteil M., Lecouvey M., Weisell J. Structural requirements for bisphosphonate binding on hydroxyapatite: NMR study of bisphosphonate partial esters. *ACS Med Chem Lett* 2015; 6(4): 397–401, https://doi.org/10.1021/ml5004603.

26. Henneman Z.J., Nancollas G.H., Ebetino F.H., Russell R.G.G., Phipps R.J. Bisphosphonate binding affinity as assessed by inhibition of carbonated apatite dissolution in vitro. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2008; 85(4): 993–1000, https:// doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.31599.

27. Lawson M.A., Xia Z., Barnett B.L., Triffitt J.T., Phipps R.J., Dunford J.E., Locklin R.M., Ebetino F.H., Russell R.G.G. Differences between bisphosphonates in binding affinities for hydroxyapatite. *J Biomed Mater Res B*

Appl Biomater 2010; 92(1): 149–155, https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.31500.

28. Kuźnik A., Październiok-Holewa A., Jewula P., Kuźnik N. Bisphosphonates — much more than only drugs for bone diseases. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2010; 866: 172773, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.172773.

29. Alwahhabi B.K., Alsuwaine B.A. Long-term use of bisphosphonates in osteoporosis. *Saudi Med J* 2017; 38(6): 604–608, https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2017.6.19793.

30. Cremers S., Pillai G., Papapoulos S.E. Pharmacokinetics/ pharmacodynamics of bisphosphonates. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2005; 44(6): 551–570, https://doi.org/10.2165/00003088-200544060-00001.

31. Cremers S., Drake M.T., Ebetino F.H., Bilezikian J.P., Russell R.G.G. Pharmacology of bisphosphonates. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2019; 85(6): 1052–1062, https://doi.org/10.1111/ bcp.13867.

32. Baron R., Ferrari S., Russell R.G.G. Denosumab and bisphosphonates: different mechanisms of action and effects. *Bone* 2011; 48(4): 677–692, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bone.2010.11.020.

33. Cremers S., Ebetino F.H., Phipps R. On the pharmacological evaluation of bisphosphonates on humans. *Bone* 2020; 139: 11550, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone. 2020.115501.

34. Russell R.G.G., Watts N.B., Ebetino F.H., Rogers M.J. Mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates: similarities and differences and their potential influence on clinical efficacy. *Osteoporos Int* 2008; 19(6): 733–759, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00198-007-0540-8.

35. Watts N.B., Chessnut C.H. III, Genant H.K., Harris S.T., Jackson R.D., Licata A.A., Miller P.D., Mysiw W.J., Richmond B., Valent D. History of etidronate. *Bone* 2020; 134: 115222, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115222.

36. Dunford J.E., Kavanagh K., Oppermann U., Ebetino F.H., Russell R.G.G., Rogers M.J. Investigations into the kinetic mechanism of inhibition of farnesyl diphosphate synthase by nitrogen containing bisphosphonates. *Bone* 2006; 38(3 Suppl 1): 47–48, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2005. 12.037.

37. Clarke B.L. The molecular mechanism of nitrogencontaining bisphosphonates as antiosteoporosis drugs. *Yearbook of Endocrinology* 2007; 2007: 330–331, https://doi. org/10.1016/s0084-3741(08)70151-3.

38. Papapoulos S.E. Pamidronate: a model compound of the pharmacology of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates; a Leiden historical perspective. *Bone* 2020; 134: 115244, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115244.

39. Dunford J.E., Kwaasi A.A., Rogers M.J., Barnett B.L., Ebetino F.H., Russell R.G.G., Oppermann U., Kavanagh K.L. Structure–activity relationships among the nitrogen containing bisphosphonates in clinical use and other analogues: time-dependent inhibition of human farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase. *J Med Chem* 2008; 51(7): 2187–2195, https://doi. org/10.1021/jm7015733.

40. Knyaz'kova I.I. Clinical pharmacology of bisphosphonates. *Farmakoterapiya* 2014; 5(6): 181–182.

41. Baroncelli G.I., Bertelloni S. The use of bisphosphonates in pediatrics. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2014; 82(5): 290–302, https://doi.org/10.1159/000365889.

42. Pazianas M., van der Geest S., Miller P. Bisphosphonates and bone quality. *Bonekey Rep* 2014; 3, https://doi.org/10.1038/bonekey.2014.24.

43. McCloskey E., Paterson A.H., Powles T., Kanis J.A. Clodronate. *Bone* 2021; 143: 115715, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bone.2020.115715.

44. Cummings S.R., Santora A.C., Black D.M., Russell R.G.G. History of alendronate. *Bone* 2020; 137: 115411, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115411.

45. Fleurence R.L., Iglesias C.L., Johnson J.M. The cost effectiveness of bisphosphonates for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2007; 25(11):913–933, https://doi.org/10.2165/00019053-200725110-00003.

46. Fuggle N.R., Curtis B., Clynes M., Zhang J., Ward K., Javaid M.K., Harvey N.C., Dennison E., Cooper C. The treatment gap: the missed opportunities for osteoporosis therapy. *Bone* 2021; 144: 115833, https://doi.org/10.1016/l. bone.2020.115833.

47. Purohit O.P., Radstone C.R., Anthony C., Kanis J.A., Coleman R.E. A randomised double-blind comparison of intravenous pamidronate and clodronate in the hypercalcaemia of malignancy. *Br J Cancer* 1995; 72(5): 1289–1293, https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1995.502.

48. McClung M., Omizo M., Minkoff J., Fiske D., Kriegman A., Beamer E., Zhou W. Single infusion of zoledronic acid 5 mg provides sustained benefits in bone mineral density and biomarkers at 12 months in postmenopausal women with low bone mineral density and prior alendronate therapy. *J Clin Densitom* 2007; 10(2): S220–S221, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jocd.2007.03.082.

49. Dhillon S., Lyseng-Williamson K.A. Zoledronic acid. *Drugs* 2008; 68(4): 507–534, https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200868040-00010.

50. Djordjevic D. Benefits of use of zoledronic acid in carcinoma prostate bone metastases (zoledronic acid). *Serb J Anesth Intensive Ther* 2017; 39(7–8): 211–217, https://doi. org/10.5937/sjait1708211d.

51. Anastasilakis A.D., Polyzos S.A., Efstathiadou Z.A., Savvidis M., Sakellariou G.T., Papatheodorou A., Kokkoris P., Makras P. Denosumab in treatment-naïve and pre-treated with zoledronic acid postmenopausal women with low bone mass: effect on bone mineral density and bone turnover markers. *Metabolism* 2015; 64(10): 1291–1297, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. metabol.2015.06.018.

52. Brown J.P. Antiresorptives: safety concerns — clinical perspective. *Toxicol Pathol* 2017; 45(7): 859–863, https://doi. org/10.1177/0192623317737066.

53. Fernández-Martín S., López-Peña M., Muñoz F., Permuy M., González-Cantalapiedra A. Bisphosphonates as disease-modifying drugs in osteoarthritis preclinical studies: a systematic review from 2000 to 2020. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2021; 23(1): 60, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-021-02446-6.

54. Nancollas G.H., Tang R., Phipps R.J., Henneman Z., Gulde S., Wu W., Mangood A., Russell R.G.G., Ebetino F.H. Novel insights into actions of bisphosphonates on bone: differences in interactions with hydroxyapatite. *Bone* 2006; 38(5): 617–627, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2005.05.003.

55. Price P.A., Faus S.A., Williamson M.K. Bisphosphonates alendronate and ibandronate inhibit artery calcification at doses comparable to those that inhibit bone resorption. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2001; 21(5): 817–824, https://doi.org/10.1161/01.atv.21.5.817.

56. Price P.A., Omid N., Than T.N., Williamson M.K. The amino bisphosphonate ibandronate prevents calciphylaxis in the rat at doses that inhibit bone resorption. *Calcif Tissue Int*

2002; 71(4): 356–363, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-002-1006-9.

57. Lang M., Zhou Z., Shi L., Niu J., Xu S., Lin W., Chen Z., Wang Y. Influence of zoledronic acid on proliferation, migration, and apoptosis of vascular endothelial cells. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016; 54(8): 889–893, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bjoms.2016.05.030.

58. Jensen P.R., Andersen T.L., Chavassieux P., Roux J.P., Delaisse J.M. Bisphosphonates impair the onset of bone formation at remodeling sites. *Bone* 2021; 145: 115850, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2021.115850.

59. Bauer C., le Saux O., Pomozi V., Aherrahrou R., Kriesen R., Stölting S., Liebers A., Kessler T., Schunkert H., Erdmann J., Aherrahrou Z. Etidronate prevents dystrophic cardiac calcification by inhibiting macrophage aggregation. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8(1): 5812, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24228-y.

60. Russel R.G.G., Xia Z., Dunford J.E., Opperman U., Kwaasi A., Hulley P.A., Kavanagh K.L., Triffitt J.T., Lundy M.W., Phipps R.J., Barnett B.L., Coxon F.P., Rogers M.J., Watts N.B., Ebetino F.H. Bisphosphonates: an update on mechanisms of action and how these relate to clinical efficacy. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2007; 1117(1): 209–257, https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1402.089.

61. Frith J.C., Mönkkönen J., Auriola S., Mönkkönen H., Rogers M.J. The molecular mechanism of action of the antiresorptive and antiinflammatory drug clodronate: evidence for the formation in vivo of a metabolite that inhibits bone resorption and causes osteoclast and macrophage apoptosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001; 44(9): 2201–2210, https://doi.org/10.1002/1529-0131(200109)44:9<2201::aid-art374>3.0.co;2-e.

62. Lehenkari P.P., Kellinsalmi M., Näpänkangas J.P., Ylitalo K.V., Mönkkönen J., Rogers M.J., Azhayev A., Väänänen H.K., Hassinen I.E. Further insight into mechanism of action of clodronate: inhibition of mitochondrial ADP/ ATP translocase by a nonhydrolyzable, adenine-containing metabolite. *Mol Pharmacol* 2002; 61(5): 1255–1262, https:// doi.org/10.1124/mol.61.5.1255.

63. Bando K., Kuroishi T., Tada H., Oizumi T., Tanaka Y., Takahashi T., Mizoguchi I., Sugawara S., Endo Y. Nitrogencontaining bisphosphonates and lipopolysaccharide mutually augment inflammation via adenosine triphosphate (ATP)mediated and interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β)-mediated production of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). *J Bone Miner Res* 2021; 36(9): 1866–1878, https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.4384.

64. Göbel A., Zinna V.M., Dell'Endice S., Jaschke N., Kuhlmann J.D., Wimberger P., Rachner T.D. Anti-tumor effects of mevalonate pathway inhibition in ovarian cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2020; 20(1): 703, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-020-07164-x.

65. Dunford J.E., Kwaasi A.A., Kavanagh K.L., Rogers M.J., Oppermann U., Barnett B.B., Ebetino F.H., Russell R.G.G. Structure activity relationships of nitrogen containing bisphosphonates which induce conformational changes in farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase. *Bone* 2008; 42 (Suppl 1): S71, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2007.12.129.

66. Tsoumpra M.K., Muniz J.R., Barnett B.L., Kwaasi A.A., Pilka E.S., Kavanagh K.L., Evdokimov A., Walter R.L., Von Delft F., Ebetino F.H., Oppermann U., Russell R.G.G., Dunford J.E. The inhibition of human farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase by nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates. Elucidating the role of active site threonine 201 and tyrosine 204 residues using enzyme mutants. *Bone* 2015; 81: 478–486, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bone.2015.08.020. **67.** Elmariah S. Slowing the progression of aortic stenosis: the emerging role of bisphosphonates. In: *Cardiac valvular medicine*. Rajamannan N.M. (editor). London: Springer-Verlag; 2013; p. 123–132, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-4132-7_13.

68. Plotkin L.I., Buvinic S., Balanta-Melo J. In vitro and in vivo studies using non-traditional bisphosphonates. *Bone* 2020; 134: 115–301, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115301.

69. Watts N.B., Diab D.L. Long-term use of bisphosphonates in osteoporosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010; 95(4): 1555–1565, https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2009-1947.

70. Rizzoli R. Long-term use of bisphosphonates in osteoporosis. *Womens Health* 2006; 2(2): 309–315, https://doi. org/10.2217/17455057.2.2.309.

71. Aro E., Moritz N., Mattila K., Aro H.T. A long-lasting bisphosphonate partially protects periprosthetic bone, but does not enhance initial stability of uncemented femoral stems: a randomized placebo-controlled trial of women undergoing total hip arthroplasty. *J Biomech* 2018; 75: 35–45, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.04.041.

72. Rogers M.J., Mönkkönen J., Munoz M.A. Molecular mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates and new insights into their effects outside the skeleton. *Bone* 2020; 139: 115493, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115493.

73. Li K.Y.C. Bioprosthetic heart valves: upgrading a 50year old technology. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2019; 6: 47, https:// doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2019.00047.

74. Vyavahare N., Tam H. Bioprosthetic heart valves: from a biomaterials perspective. In: *Advances in heart valve biomechanics*. Sacks M.S., Liao J. (editors). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland; 2018; p. 337–382, https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-030-01993-8_14.

75. Barbarash L.S., Zhuravleva I.Yu. Bioprosthetic heart valve evolution: two decades of advances and challenges. *Kompleksnye problemy serdecno-sosudistyh zabolevanij* 2012; 1: 4–11.

76. Mirani B., Parvin Nejad S., Simmons C.A. Recent progress toward clinical translation of tissue engineered heart valves. *Can J Cardiol* 2021; 37(7): 1064–1077, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cjca.2021.03.022.

77. Shemin R.J. The pursuit of optimal tissue valve durability: novel treatments. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2018; 156(1): 196, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2018.04.059.

78. Braile-Sternieri M.C.V.B., Goissis G., Giglioti A.F., Ramirez V.D.A., Pereira N.P., Vasconcellos A., Basso-Frazzato G.G., Braile D.M. In vivo evaluation of Vivere bovine pericardium valvular bioprosthesis with a new anti-calcifying treatment. *Artif Organs* 2020; 44(11): E482–E493, https://doi. org/10.1111/aor.13718.

79. Manji R.A., Lee W., Cooper D.K.C. Xenograft bioprosthetic heart valves: past, present and future. *Int J Surg* 2015; 23(Pt B): 280–284, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijsu.2015.07.009.

80. Filová E., Staňková L., Eckhardt A., Svobodová J., Musílková J., Pala J., Hadraba D., Brynda E., Koňařík M., Pirk J., Bačáková L. Modification of human pericardium by chemical crosslinking. *Physiol Res* 202; 69(1): 49–59, https:// doi.org/10.33549/physiolres.934335.

81. Shaik T.A., Alfonso-García A., Zhou X., Arnold K.M., Haudenschild A.K., Krafft C., Leigh Griffiths L.G., Popp J., Marcu L. FLIm-guided Raman imaging to study cross-linking and calcification of bovine pericardium. *Anal Chem* 2020; 92(15): 10659–10667, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c01772. **82.** Meuris B., De Praetere H., Strasly M., Trabucco P., Lai J.C., Verbrugghe P., Herijgers P. A novel tissue treatment to reduce mineralization of bovine pericardial heart valves. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2018; 156(1): 197–206, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2018.01.099.

83. Borer J.S. Bioprosthesis failure: is calcification the only problem? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020; 76(15): 1749–1750, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.08.065.

84. Agathos E.A., Tomos P.I., Kostomitsopoulos N., Koutsoukos P.G. A novel anticalcification treatment strategy for bioprosthetic valves and review of the literature. *J Card Surg* 2019; 34(10): 895–900, https://doi.org/10.1111/jocs.14151.

85. Kiesendahl N., Schmitz C., Von Berg A., Menne M., Schmitz-Rode J., Steinseifer U. In vitro calcification of bioprosthetic heart valves: investigation of test fluids. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2020; 48(1): 282–297, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-019-02347-5.

86. D'Alessandro C., Dimopoulos A., Andriopoulou S., Messaris G.A.T., Korossis S., Koutsoukos P., Mavrilas D. In vitro calcification studies on bioprosthetic and decellularized heart valves under quasi-physiological flow conditions. *Bio-Des Manuf* 2020; 4: 10–21, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42242-020-00110-7.

87. D'Alessandro C.C., Komninou M.A., Badria A.F., Korossis S., Koutsouko P., Mavrilas D. Calcification assessment of bioprosthetic heart valve tissues using an improved in vitro model. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2020; 67(9): 2453–2461, https://doi.org/10.1109/tbme.2019.2963043.

88. Luo Y., Huang S., Ma L. A novel detergent-based decellularization combined with carbodiimide crosslinking for improving anti-calcification of bioprosthetic heart valve. *Biomed Mater* 2021; 16(4): 045022, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605x/ ac0088.

89. Park C.S., Kim Y.J., Lee J.R., Lim H.G., Chang J.H., Jeong S., Kwon N. Anticalcification effect of a combination of decellularization, organic solvents and amino acid detoxification on glutaraldehyde-fixed xenopericardial heart valves in a large-animal long-term circulatory model. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2017; 25(3): 391–399, https://doi. org/10.1093/icvts/ivx131.

90. Jorge-Herrero E., Garcia Paez J.M., Del Castilo-Olivares Ramos J.L. Tissue heart valve mineralization: review of calcification mechanisms and strategies for prevention. *J Appl Biomater Biomech* 2005; 3(2): 67–68.

91. Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Calcification of tissue heart valve substitutes: progress toward understanding and prevention. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005; 79(3): 1072–1080, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2004.06.033.

92. Lee C.H. Physiological variables involved in heart valve substitute calcification. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2009; 9(8): 1031–1042, https://doi.org/10.1517/14712590903085091.

93. Munnelly A., Schoen F., Vyavahare N. Biomaterial calcification: mechanisms and prevention. In: *Degradation of implant materials*. Eliaz N. (editor). New York: Springer; 2012; p. 359–392, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3942-4_14.

94. Demer L.L., Tintut Y. Heart valve calcification. In: *Principles of heart valve engineering*. Kheradvar A. (editor). Cambridge, Massachusetts: Academic Press; 2019; p. 307–319, https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-814661-3.00011-3.

95. Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Bioprosthetic heart valve calcification: clinicopathologic correlations, mechanisms, and prevention. In: *Contemporary cardiology*. Aikawa E., Hutcheson J.D. (editors). Cham: Humana Press; 2020; p. 183–215, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46725-8_9.

96. Schoen F.J., Levy R.J., Tam H., Vyavahare N. Pathological calcification of biomaterials. In: *Biomaterials science*. Wagner W.R., Sakiyama-Elbert S.E., Zhang G., Yaszemski M.J. (editors). Cambridge, Massachusetts: Academic Press; 2020; p. 973–994, https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-816137-1.00065-9.

97. Hirsch D., Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Effects of metallic ions and diphosphonates on inhibition of pericardial bioprosthetic tissue calcification and associated alkaline phosphatase activity. *Biomaterials* 1993; 14(5): 371–377, https://doi. org/10.1016/0142-9612(93)90057-9.

98. Golomb G., Dixon M., Smith M.S., Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Controlled-release drug delivery of diphosphonates to inhibit bioprosthetic heart valve calcification: release rate modulation with silicone matrices via drug solubility and membrane coating. *J Pharm Sci* 1987; 76(4): 271–276, https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.2600760402.

99. Golomb G., Dixon M., Smith M.S., Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Inhibition of bioprosthetic heart valve calcification by sustained local delivery of Ca and Na diphosphonate via controlled release matrices. *ASA/O Trans* 1986; 32(1): 587–590, https://doi.org/10.1097/00002216-198609000-00045.

100. Sovány T., Csüllög A., Benkő E., Regdon G.Jr., Pintye-Hódi K. Comparison of the properties of implantable matrices prepared from degradable and non-degradable polymers for bisphosphonate delivery. *Int J Pharm* 2017; 533(2): 364–372, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2017. 07.023.

101. Balas F., Manzano M., Horcajada P., Vallet-Regí M. Confinement and controlled release of bisphosphonates on ordered mesoporous silica-based materials. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006; 128(25): 8116–8117, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja062286z.

102. Synetos A., Toutouzas K., Drakopoulou M., Koutagiar I., Benetos G., Kotronias R., Anousakis-Vlachochristou N., Latsios G., Karanasos A., Agrogiannis G., Metaxas M., Stathogiannis K., Papanikolaou A., Georgakopoulos A., Pianou N., Tsiamis E., Patsouris E., Papalois A., Cokkinos D., Anagnostopoulos C., Tousoulis D. Inhibition of aortic valve calcification by local delivery of zoledronic acid — an experimental study. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res* 2018; 11(3): 192–200, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-018-9802-4.

103. Santos L.L., Cavalcanti T.B., Bandeira F.A. Vascular effects of bisphosphonates — a systematic review. *Clin Med Insights Endocrinol Diabetes* 2012; 5: 47–54, https://doi. org/10.4137/cmed.s10007.

104. Donato M., Ferri N., Lupo M.G., Faggin E., Rattazzi M. Current evidence and future perspectives on pharmacological treatment of calcific aortic valve stenosis. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; 21(21): 8263, https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms21218263.

105. Cutini P.H., Rauschemberger M.B., Sandoval M.J., Massheimer V.L. Vascular action of bisphosphonates: in vitro effect of alendronate on the regulation of cellular events involved in vessel pathogenesis. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2016; 100: 83–92, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2016.08.017.

106. Dayanand P., Sandhyavenu H., Dayanand S., Martinez J., Rangaswami J. Role of bisphosphonates in vascular calcification and bone metabolism: a clinical summary. *Curr Cardiol Rev* 2018; 14(3): 192–199, https://scite.ai/reports/ 10.2174/1573403x14666180619103258.

107. Elnashar M.M. Immobilized molecules using

biomaterials and nanobiotechnology. *J Biomater Nanobiotechnol* 2010; 1(1): 61–77, https://doi.org/10.4236/jbnb.2010.11008.

108. Fleisch H., Russell R.G., Bisaz S., Mühlbauer R. Influence of diphosphonates on the deposition and dissolution of ccalcium phosphate in vitro and in vivo. *Helv Physiol Pharmacol Acta* 1968; 26(3): CR345–CR346.

109. Dewanjee M.K., Solis E., Lanker J., Mackey S.T., Lombardo G.M., Tidwell C., Ellefsen R.D., Kaye M.P. Effect of diphosphonate binding to collagen upon inhibition of calcification and promotion of spontaneous endothelial cell coverage on tissue valve prostheses. *ASAIO Trans* 1986; 32(1): 24–29.

110. Dewanjee M.K. *Treatment of collagen tissue with glutaraldehyde and aminophosphonate calcification inhibitor.* Patent US 4553974. 1985.

111. Webb C.L., Phelps L.L., Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Aminodiphosphonate or AI preincubation inhibits calcification of aortic homografts in the rat subdermal model. *ASAIO Trans* 1988; 34(3): 851–854.

112. Johnston T.P., Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Prevention of calcification of bioprosthetic heart valve leaflets by Ca²⁺ diphosphonate pretreatment. *J Pharm Sci* 1988; 77(9): 740–744, https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.2600770903.

113. Webb C.L., Benedict J.J., Schoen F.J., Linden J.A., Levy R.J. Inhibition of bioprosthetic heart valve calcification with aminodiphosphonate covalently bound to residual aldehyde groups. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1988; 46(3): 309–316, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-4975(10)65932-2.

114. Webb C.L., Schoen F.J., Levy R.J. Covalent binding of aminopropanehydroxydiphosphonate to glutaraldehyde residues in pericardial bioprosthetic tissue: stability and calcification inhibition studies. *Exp Mol Pathol* 1989; 50(3): 291–302, https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4800(89)90039-7.

115. Nimni M.E., Ertl D., Villanueva J., Nimni B.S. Inhibition of ectopic calcification of glutaraldehyde crosslinked collagen and collagenous tissues by a covalently bound diphosphonate (APD). *Am J Cardiovasc Pathol* 1990; 3(3): 237–245.

116. Levy R.J., Qu X., Underwood T., Trachy J., Schoen F.J. Calcification of valved aortic allografts in rats: effects of age, crosslinking, and inhibitors. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1995; 29(2): 217–226, https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.820290212.

117. Savino S., Toscano A., Purgatorio R., Profilo E., Laghezza A., Tortorella P., Angelelli M., Cellamare S., Scala R., Tricarico D., Marobbio C.M.T., Perna F., Vitale P., Agamennone M., Dimiccoli V., Tolomeo A., Scilimati A. Novel bisphosphonates with antiresorptive effect in bone mineralization and osteoclastogenesis. *Eur J Med Chem* 2018; 158: 184–200, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.08.044.

118. Widler L., Jaeggi K.L., Glatt M., Müller K., Bachmann R., Bisping M., Born A.R., Cortesi R., Guiglia G., Jeker H., Klein R., Ramseier U., Schmid J., Schreiber G., Seltenmeyer Y., Green J.R. Highly potent geminal bisphosphonates. From pamidronate disodium (Aredia) to zoledronic acid (Zometa). *J Med Chem* 2002; 45(17): 3721–3738, https://doi.org/10.1021/jm020819i.

119. Ishida K., Ashizawa N., Matsumoto K., Kobashi S., Kurita N., Shigematsu T., Iwanaga T. Novel bisphosphonate compound FYB-931 preferentially inhibits aortic calcifcation in vitamin D3-treated rats. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2019; 37(5): 796–804, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-019-00987-0.

120. Zhuravleva I.Y., Polienko Y.F., Karpova E.V, Timchenko T.P., Vasilieva M.B., Baratova L.A., Shatskaya S.S., Kuznetsova E.V., Nichay N.R., Beshchasna N., Bogachev-Prokophiev A.V. Treatment with bisphosphonates to mitigate calcification of elastin-containing bioprosthetic materials. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2020; 108(7): 1579–1588, https://doi. org/10.1002/jbm.a.36927.

121. Jin W., Guo G., Chen L., Lei Y., Wang Y. Elastin stabilization through polyphenol and ferric chloride combined treatment for the enhancement of bioprosthetic heart valve anticalcification. *Artif Organs* 2018; 42(11): 1062–1069, https://doi.org/10.1111/aor.13151.

122. Zhuravleva I.Y., Nichay N.R., Kulyabin Y.Y., Timchenko T.P., Korobeinikov A.A., Polienko Y.F., Shatskaya S.S., Kuznetsova E.V., Voitov A.V., Bogachev-Prokophiev A.V., Karaskov A.M. In search of the best xenogeneic material for a paediatric conduit: an experimental study. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2018; 26(5): 738–744, https://doi.org/10.1093/icvts/ivx445.

123. Nichay N.R., Zhuravleva I.Y., Kulyabin Y.Y., Timchenko T.P., Voitov A.V., Kuznetsova E.V., Soynov I.A.,

Zubritskiy A.V., Bogachev-Prokophiev A.V., Karaskov A.M. In search of the best xenogeneic material for a paediatric conduit: an analysis of clinical data. *Interactive Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2018; 27(1): 34–41, https://doi.org/10.1093/icvts/ ivy029.

124. Hendriks M., Everaerts F., Verhoeven M. Bioprostheses and its alternative fixation. *J Long Term Eff Med Implants* 2017; 27(2–4): 137–157, https://doi.org/10.1615/ jlongtermeffmedimplants.v27.i2-4.40.

125. Rezvova M.A., Ovcharenko E.A., Glushkova T.V., Kudryavtseva Yu.A., Barbarash L.S. Evaluation of calcification resistance of xenopericardium treated with polyhydroxy compounds. *Vestnik transplantologii i iskusstvennyh organov* 2021; 23(1): 75–83, https://doi.org/10.15825/1995-1191-2021-1-75-83.

126. Yu T., Yang W., Zhuang W., Tian Y., Kong Q., Chen X., Li G., Wang Y. A bioprosthetic heart valve cross-linked by a non-glutaraldehyde reagent with improved biocompatibility, endothelialization, anti-coagulation and anti-calcification properties. *J Mater Chem B* 2021; 9(19): 4031–4038, https://doi.org/10.1039/d1tb00409c.